Investigation of the Effect of Weld Parameters of Direct Resistance Spot Welds made on AISI, C1010 Cold Rolled Carbon Steel Sheet for Auto Applications

Dr.Kancha Sammaiah, Dr. Banoth Balunaik, Prof. D. V. Srikanth

Professor, Department. of Mechanical Engineering, St.Martin's Engineering College, Dhulapally Secunderabad email: <u>kanchasmmh@gmail.com</u> : T.S,india.

Professor, & Director UGC-Academic Staff College(ASC) Department of Mechanical Engineering JNTUH College of Engineering Hyderabad, T.S, india.

Professor & HOD, Department of Mechanical Engineering, , St.Martin's Engineering College Dhulapally Secunderabad email:dvsk75@gmail.com,T.S,india.

Abstract: All resistance welding processes are based on the heat that is produced at the interface due to resistance for the flow of electric current through the metal parts being joined. The amount of heat generated basically depends on the magnitude of the current, the duration of current flow and the load transmitted to the interface.

Spot welding is now a very established process in which two sheets to be welded are kept one over the other to get a nugget at the interface. The contact resistance at the interface dictates the quantum of heat that is generated

In the present investigation, AISI,C1010 (Cold rolled carbon steel sheet, were welded by resistance spot welding.

Trials were conducted using "statistical "design of experiments approach where 2^3 factorial designs was used. The three factors selected are weld force, weld current, and weld time. Each factor was varied with two levels and the tension shear load was taken as response criteria. Direct resistance welding trials were conducted and regression equations were obtained. The effect of parameters on tension shear breaking load was analyzed. **Keywords:** Resistance Spot Welding, Nugget Diameter, Tensile Strength, Peel test

I. Introduction

Spot welding is now an established technique and widely used for assembly of sheet metals. Two sheets are welded together at points, rather than over the entire area of contact between the parts. Cylindrical electrodes apply pressure and convey electrical current to the work pieces. The contact resistance at the metal interface is very important to decide the quantum of heat required for melting of specified volume. Resistance Spot welding is extensively used in automobile sector due to high level of flexibility, rate of production, high quality and low cost of operations. Vehicle components (body, doors etc) are made of thin sheets (0.5 to 1 mm) connected by spot welds. There are other applications in electrical and domestic appliances. The process details of direct spot welding process are shown in figure 1.



Fig. 1 Schematic diagram showing direct resistance spot welding process

II. Experimental work

2.1 Equipment

Trials were conducted on a 10 KVA Spot welding machine (3– Phase, 415 Volts, 50Hz,). This machine has a provision for adjustment of two levels of load with mechanical system. Six voltage tap settings are available for setting secondary voltage. A weld timer controls duration of current flow (see fig. 3).

2.2 Material

Experiments were carried out on cold rolled carbon steel (CRCS), AISI – C1010 sheets, of 0.8 mm thickness which is having very good applications for thin sheet fabrication. The chemical composition and mechanical properties are given in table-1 and 2.

2.3 Tension – shear test specimen

Spots were made on sheets 0.8 mm thick 25mm width 75 mm length specimens. The same specimens were used for tension- shear testing. (See fig.5).

2.4 Factorial design

This experiment was carried out by using 2^3 factorial design .The three factors are weld force in kgf – X1, weld current in Amps – X2, weld time in seconds – X3, and each factor was varied with two levels. Experimental design levels for the factors are given in table-3

2.5 Tension – shear test

The specimens were gripped in a specially designed fixture to determine the tension shear load using a 40 T-UTM (see fig.4 & 6).

2.6 Peel test

The specimen was tested by peel test using a bench vice and tongs. The nugget formation was observed for both direct and indirect spot welding. The nugget sizes were determined and the position of failure was noted. (See fig.8)

2.7 Experiment inputs and out puts



Fig.2 Over view of Design of experiments

III. Results and discussions

Experimental observations, in coded- matrix form are given in table-4 and figure -5for the treatment combinations conducted for direct spot welding , where + ve and - ve values denote higher and lower levels of the corresponding parameters .Also corresponding responses (Breaking load or Tension shear load) are given for each treatment combination. To analyze the experimental data, a simple linear regression equation for response, has been assumed and is given by,

 $Y = Y_0 + \Box_1 X_1 + \Box_2 X_2 + \Box_{\Box} X_3 + \Box_{12} X_1 X_2 + \Box_{13} X_1 X_3 + \Box_{23} X_2 X_3 + \Box_{123} X_1 X_2 X_3.$

By applying the method of least square to the data of table - 4 the co-efficient βi were calculated.

 $\Box_{h=} \Box_{high} \Box_{how} / (n/2)$, for single set of trials, The ' β '' values are tested for significance and were substituted in the equation ,Where "n" is the number of treatment combinations (n = 8).

 $Y = Y_0 + \Box_1 X_1 + \Box_2 X_2 + \Box_1 X_3 + \Box_{12} X_1 X_2 + \Box_{13} X_1 X_3 + \Box_{23} X_2 X_3 + \Box_{123} X_1 X_2 X_3$

Positive coefficients of any factor indicate that in increasing this variable, would enhance the response, negative coefficients the opposite.

As per test of significance F (2, 7) = 4.74 considering degree of freedom for error 2 and 7 for treatment combination the test of significance was carried out (obtained from statistical tables). Hence above 4.74 all the regression Co- efficient have greater significance. Accordingly the regression equation obtained is given as: $V = 276.25 + 8V_{\odot} + 110.5 V_{\odot} + 60 V_{\odot} + 59.25V_{\odot} V_{\odot} + 34 V_{\odot} V_{\odot} + 85.25V_{\odot} V_{\odot} + 57.5 V_{\odot} V_{\odot}$

 $Y = 276.25 + 8X_1 + 110.5 X_2 + 60 X_3 + 59.25 X_1 X_2 + 34 X_1 X_3 + 85.25 X_2 X_3 + 57.5 X_1 X_2 X_3$

Peel test was done to find qualitatively, the formation of nugget and nature of failure. These results were shown in table -7and the Figure.8.

Table -6 gives the results of (d/t) ratio as per design requirements of the direct resistance spot welding. Table- 9 gives the observations of depth of electrode indentation on top and bottom sheet and Table- 8 gives the details of HAZ observations.

3.1 Influence of weld parameters.

From table 5 ,as per the Regression equation and its co-efficients of direct spot welding, the influence of weld current (X2) was found to be more significant ($\beta_2 = 110.5$), the interaction of weld current (X2) and weld time (X3) also were significant ($\beta_{23} = 85.25$) when compared to weld force ($\beta_1 = 8$), and interaction of weld force, weld current and weld time ($\beta_{123} = 57.5$).

3.2 Observation of d/t ratio

The design of spot weld requires control of the ratio of weld diameter to the sheet thickness, d/t = 1.28 X yield stress / shear stress [11] and (appendix 8.1, 8.2, and 8.3). Table - 6, give the results as per design requirement and experimentation by peel of test. The direct spot welding gave (d / t) ratio, observed more safe design.

with in 0.1 maximum and HAZ is varying from 0.1 to 0.5 maximum for each sample. Both parameters are almost consistent for all the conditions of weld parameters.

3.3 Effect of nugget diameter: The nugget size is proportional to weld current and time, The nugget sizes measured after peel test revealed satisfactory results and are adequate for several applications.

IV. Conclusion

- 1. Direct spot welds under optimum welded conditions within the range selected by design of experiments gave very good tension- shear breaking loads for 0.80 mm thickness.
- 2. It has been observed from the experiments results and the magnitude of load is adequate for majority of Industrial applications. This is confirmed by (d/t) ratio calculations as well as by peel test results.
- 3. Reveled from the results the design value will be considered as 85 Kgf for auto applications.
- 4. Observed the depth of indentation for top and bottom surfaces are within 10% of thickness. Maximum
- 5. It is concluded that the design of experiments approach was very effective in finding the optimum welding conditions.

Table 1: Chemical composition for specimen (AISI, C- 1010) - Cold rolled carbon steel (CRCS)

-			,	
Element	С	Mn	Р	S
Weight Percent (%)	0.1max	O.25-0.5	0.04max	0.05max

S. No	Details of properties	Units
1	UTS	30 kg/ mm2
2	yield stress	21kg/mm2
3	Shear strength	15 kg/mm2
4	Elongation	32-48%

Table 2: Mechanical properties of specimen

Table 3: Welding parameters in factorial design of experiment.

			Design levels	
S. No	Welding parameters	Factor designatio n	Lower (-1)	High (+1)
1	Weld Force-Kgf	X1	30	45
2	Weld Current-Amp	X ₂	1800	2400
3	Weld Time - Sec	X ₃	0.1 Sec (5	0.3Sec
			cycles)	(15cycles)

Table 4: Experimental observations in coded - matrix form and parameters.

S. No	Weld Force X1 (Kgf)	Weld current X2 (Amps)	Weld Time X3 (Sec)	Tension shear Breaking load (Kgf)
1	-1	-1	-1	170
2	+1	-1	-1	229

3	-1	+1	-1	314
4	+1	+1	-1	272
5	-1	-1	+1	285
6	+1	-1	+1	220
7	-1	+1	+1	340
8	+1	+1	+1	400

Tuble of Regression equation and coefficients							
Trial		Factors		Tension shear breaking load (Y)	Treatment combinations	Coefficients	Values
	X1	X2	X3				
1	30	1800	0.1	170	1		
2	45	1800	0.1	229	X_1	\square_1	8
3	30	2400	0.1	314	X_2	\square_2	110.5-weld current
4	45	2400	0.1	272	X_1X_2	\square_{12}	59.25
5	30	1800	0.3	285	X_3	\square_3	60 - Weld time
6	45	1800	0.3	220	X_1X_3	¹ 13	34
7	30	2400	0.3	340	X ₂ X ₃	[_] 23	85.25–weld current and weld time
8	45	2400	0.3	400	$X_1X_2X_3$	□ ₁₂₃	57.5

Table 5: Regression equation and coefficients

Note: General equation and calculation methodology for coefficients:

 $Y = Y_0 + \Box_1 X_1 + \Box_2 X_2 + \Box_1 X_1 X_2 + \Box_1 X_1 X_3 + \Box_2 X_2 X_3 + \Box_{123} X_1 X_2 X_3 \Box$ $\Box = \Box_{high} - \Box_{how} / (n/2), \text{ for single set of trials, } \Box_{high} = Y_2 + Y_4 + Y_6 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_4 + Y_6 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_4 + Y_6 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_4 + Y_6 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_4 + Y_6 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_4 + Y_6 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_4 + Y_6 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_4 + Y_6 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_4 + Y_6 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_4 + Y_6 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_4 + Y_6 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_4 + Y_6 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_4 + Y_6 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_4 + Y_6 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_6 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_6 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_6 + Y_8 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_8 + Y_8 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_8 + Y_8 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_8 + Y_8 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_8 + Y_8 + Y_8 + Y_8 + Y_8 + Y_8 \text{ and } \Box_{how} = Y_8 + Y$

 $Y_1 + Y_3 + Y_5 + Y_7$

 $Y=tension-shear \ load \ , \quad \beta^r=coefficients \ , \ n=number \ of \ trials \ , Test \ of \ significance \ F \ (2, \ 7)=4.74 \ (from \ statistical \ tables)$

 $Y = 276.25 + 8X_1 + 110.5 X_2 + 60 X_3 + 59.25 X_1 X_2 + 34 X_1 X_3 + 85.25 X_2 X_3 + 57.5 X_1 X_2 X_3$

 Table 6: Observations of d/t ratio

Yield stress as per AISI 1010	As per design requirement	As per experiment by peel test	
	d/t Ratio	d/t Ratio	
21 kg/mm2 (maximum)	0.9	2.7 / 0.8= 3.4	
		(considering minimum nugget diameter)	

Remarks: Very safe as per design requirement

Table 7: Details of	peel test results
---------------------	-------------------

S. No	Weld Force X1 (Kgf)	Weld current X2 (Amps)	Weld time X3 (Sec)	Nugget diameter D (mm)
1	30	1800	0.1	2.7
2	45	1800	0.1	2.9
3	30	2484	0.1	2.8
4	45	2484	0.1	2.7
5	30	1800	0.3	3.2
6	45	1800	0.3	3.1
7	30	2484	0.3	3.0
8	45	2484	0.3	3.2

|--|

Trial	Depth of indentation			
	Top sheet (mm)	Bottom sheet (mm)		
1	0.060	0.075		
2	0.070	0.085		
3	0.080	0.070		
4	0.090	0.08		
5	0.075	0.085		
6	0.088	0.09		
7	0.90	0.080		
8	0.95	0.98		

Trial	HAZ	HAZ
	(Top sheet - mm)	(Bottom sheet -mm)
1	47	4.2
2	4.4	4.5
3	4.2	3.8
4	4.3	3.9
5	5.3	4.6
6	4.8	4.4
7	5.4	4.90
8	4.7	4.4

Table 9: Details of observation of spot diameter and HAZ for top and bottom specimens







V. Appendix - Calculations

8.10 - Calculations for the d/t ratio for the tested specimen

Design of weld with reference to Welding technology and design; author V.M. Radhakrishan, the ratio of d/t = 1.28 (yield stress / shear stress). The bending stress in the spot weld by considering the weld nugget features are d = diameter of the weld nugget and t = thickness of the weld nugget Bending stress = p / d t

```
= shear stress (d2 / 1.28 d t)
```

$$= d / 1.28 t$$

Or d/t = 1.28 (Bending load / Shear load)

Thus ratio of (d / t) will be governed by the maximum permissible shear strength in the spot weld and yield strength of the base material, under the assumptions on yield stress = bending stress ,

Thus we have, (d / t) = 1.28 (yield stress / shear stress)

calculation of shear stress:

Cross section of the spot = $\pi d (\text{spot})^2/4$ Shear stress = $p / \pi d (\text{spot})^2/4$ = $4p / \pi d (\text{spot})^2$

National Conference On "Innovative Approaches In Mechanical Engineering" ST.Martin's Engineering College, Secunderabad = 1.28 (p) /d2

8.2 - Data considered for the discussions to evaluate the design value:

P= Tension shear load in kgf =220 kg,

t= therefore shear load in kgr = 220 kg, t= thickness of the sheet = 0.8mm (specimen), W= width of the sheet= 25mm (specimen), Material = low carbon steel sheet and UTS of the material= 30 kg/mm², Shear strength= 15 kg/mm², Test conducted with electrode is of diameter 4mm so that spot diameter is 3 mm, Let, A= Area of spot = $\pi d^2/4 = \pi (2.7)^2/4 = 5.7 \text{ mm}^2$, Shear stress of spot = 15 kg/mm², Tension shear load = shear stress× Area of the spot = $5.7 \times 15 = 85.5 \text{ kg}$, say 85 Kg But as per the results gave tension shear value 220 kgf, factor of safety = 220/85 = 2.5 (F.S.), by considering the Factor of safety =2.5, Therefore, for design value will be considered up to a maximum of 85 kgf, then Observed value for the direct resistance spot welding = $400/85 \approx 4.7$ Base metal strength of the specimen

Area of the cross-section of the specimen= $25 \times 0.8 = 20 \text{ mm}^2$, Shear of the specimen = 15 kg/mm^2 and The failure load = $15 \times 20 = 300 \text{ kgf}$

8.3- Detail calculations of d/t Ratio as per design requirement and experiment by Peel Test **8.3.1** As per design requirement.

we have (d/t) = 1.28 (yield stress) / shear stress

For maximum yield stress $d/t = 1.28 \times 21 / 15 = 0.9$

8.3.2 As per peel test results

d/t, where d = Diameter of nugget in mm, t = thickness of the sheet in mm

Minimum diameter of the nugget = 2.7,

Maximum diameter of the nugget = 3.2

Thickness of the sheet = 0.8 mm, then ratio of d/t = 2.7/0.8 = 3.4 (By considering minimum nugget diameter)

8.4 - Discussions about theoretical tension shear load and experimental tension shear load

From base material of the specimen;

Area of the cross section = $25 \times 0.8 = 20 \text{ mm2}$ Shear stress of the base material = 30 / 2 = 15 kg / mm2

Breaking load under shear = $15 \times 20 = 300 \text{ kg}$

Tension shear load for direct spot welding = 400 kgr

Ratio of Experimental tension shear to theoretical load for DSW = 400 / 300 = 0.75

Acknowledgments:

The authors would like to thank the Executive Director, and the Principal of St.Matrtin's Engineering College for their encouragement, support and granting permission to publish this work. The authors also express their sincere thanks to authorities of JNTU Hyderabad for their support and permission to present this research work.

References:

- Dipak V.Patil ,Ganesh A. Sankpal "A review on effect of spot weld Parameters on Spot weld strength" @ 2014 IJEDR International Journal of Engineering Development and Research, Volume 3,Issue 1 ISSN:2321-9939.
- [2]. Shashi Dwivedi ,Satpal Sharma "Optimizations of research Spot Welding Process Parameters on Shear Tensile Strength pf SAE 1010 steel sheets Joint using Box-Behnken Design ,JJMIE,Jordan Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering ,Volume 10 Number 2,June 2016 pages 115 to 122.
- [3]. AK Pandey ,M.I.khan Investigation of the effect of current on tensile strength and nugget diameter of spot welds made on AISI -1008 steel sheets, International Journal of Technical Research and Applications, ISSN 2320-8163, Volume 1, Issue 1 July –Aug 2013 ,PP 01 to 08
- [4]. D.J.Radakovic and M.Tumuluru, Predicting Resistance Spot weld failure modes in shear tension rests for advanced high –strength automotive steels, Welding research April 2008, Volume 87, pp 96s to 105s
- [5]. Manoj Raut and Vishal Achwal, Optimization of spot welding process parameters for maximum tensile strength, International journal of Mechancial engineering and robotics research, ISSN: 2278-0149, Volume 3, Number 4, October 2014.
- [6]. Hatsuhiko OIKAWA, Resistance Spot weldability of HSS sheets for automobiles ,Nippon Steel technical report Number 95,January 2007.
- [7]. Yuh J Chao ,Ultimate Sterngth and failure mechanicasm of resistance spot weld subjected to tensile ,shear or combined tensile /shear loads ,Journal of Engineering Materials and technology copyright April 2003 by ASME Volume 125/125
- [8]. M.M Rahman ,A.B.Rosli M.M ,Effect of Spot diameter and sheets thickness on fatigue life of Spot welded structure based on FEA approach ,American Journal of Applied sicences 6 (1) 137-142 ,2009 ISSN 1546-9239.

National Conference On "Innovative Approaches In Mechanical Engineering" ST.Martin's Engineering College, Secunderabad

- [9]. Davood Afshari ,Prediction of the nugget size in resistance spot welding with a combination of a FEA and an Artificial neural network ,Materilas and technology 48 (2014) 1,PP 33 to 38
- [10]. Harshad Deshmukh strength Analysis of resistance spot weld and weld –Bonded single lap joints ,International journal of MEchancal and Industrial technology ,ISSN: 2348-7593 ,Vol,2,Issue 1, PP 170 - 179
- [11]. Design of weld, pp. 452 to 453 Welding technology and design, author V.M. Radhakrishan, revised 2nd Edition 2005, New Age international publishers.